

## Options for Local Government Service Delivery

23 July 2009

### Moving Forward – an update for RTCG

23 July 2009



### Phase 1 – Key Conclusion

The right answer is not to prescribe a single 'operating model' or, indeed, a set of models for local government service delivery in Northern Ireland.

- There is no 'one size fits all' model – the new councils need to be designed to address the needs and preferences of the citizens they serve;
- There is no 'best' model - analysis of operating models for service delivery in other jurisdictions are based on a marriage of political leadership and accountability and efficient and effective service delivery to meet local need; and
- Certain elements of the operating model of the new councils is a matter for Transition Committees to agree.

*Best practice suggests that a consistent "approach" to the design of service delivery should be applied to each council.*

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

### Phase 1 – Key Recommendation

A common design approach is adopted for the development of a consistent council operating model for all new councils, consisting of the following elements:

- **Design framework** – a standard approach to the development of a council operating model based on a shared set of guidelines and principles;
- **Design 'toolbox'** – a set of shared processes (data recording), technologies (ICT infrastructure), and enabling initiatives (capacity building) which are designed collectively and deployed as common building blocks across all councils; and
- **Design resource** – subject matter experts working with councils to provide advice, assistance and assurance on the design and build activities within individual councils.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

### Phase 1 – Next Steps

How do we determine the optimum 'scale and scope' of this Design Approach?

- The design approach and a number of options around it have been discussed with the main political parties and SOLACE
- Objective was to agree a consensual approach to the development of the 11 new councils based around :
  - Achievability by 2011;
  - Cost;
  - Value added to the citizen;
  - Future opportunities for collaborative working, including shared services; and
  - Agreed regional arrangements at local government level to facilitate political leadership and service delivery.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

## Phase 1 – Options for Economic Appraisal

The following recommended options were agreed by SLB (3rd July 2009) as a basis for a detailed Economic Appraisal

- **Option 1** – Do Nothing (baseline position)
- **Option 2** – Do Minimum (transition)
- **Option 3** – Transformation within council functional areas
- **Option 4** – Transformation across council functional areas
- **Option 5** – As Option 4 but with an exploration of functions which could be delivered on a shared services basis
- **Option 6** – Option 4 with the addition of a regional support organisation operating on behalf of local government delivering a range of shared services, within or apart from a LGA

PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

## Phase 1 – Supporting Recommendations

A number of supporting recommendations were also accepted by SLB (3rd July 2009)

- **Enhanced guidance and support for Transition Committees**
  - The design approach places a greater emphasis on the direction, scope and support for the work of Transition Committees and their Transition Management Teams.
- **Assessment of options for appropriate future regional structures for local government**
  - Collaborative decision making;
  - Strong central/local government voice;
  - Managing or delivering shared support services; and
  - The role of a Local Government Association.

PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

## Phase 2 – Developing the Economic Appraisal

The key deliverables from Phase 2 are an Economic Appraisal and an associated Implementation Plan (for the preferred option)

- **Economic Appraisal will:**
  - be complete by end August 2009 (draft to be released for review w/c 31<sup>st</sup> August);
  - provide both monetary and non-monetary costs and benefits for each option (including resource implications for Transition Committees and existing councils); and
  - be used to assess and agree an option as the basis for bid(s) to DFP.
- **Implementation Plan will:**
  - Set out activities, timescales and resources for preferred option; and
  - Define dependencies, risks and issues.

PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

## Phase 2 – Next Steps

The key deliverables from Phase 2 are an Economic Appraisal and an associated Implementation Plan (for the preferred option)

- **We are currently in process of:**
  - reviewing information and data gathered to date (e.g. Transfer of Function papers submitted to PDP C);
  - arranging meetings with owning Departments to confirm costs and issues;
  - arranging meetings with DFP and DOE; and
  - arranging meetings with SOLACE and NILGA to get senior officer and political perspective.

***While draft for review will be available at end of August, we are keen to drip-feed progress to RTCG (both to flag issues and to allow a sense check on where we are going) – how should we do this?***

PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP

Strictly Confidential  
July 2009

[www.pwc.com/uk](http://www.pwc.com/uk)

This report has been prepared for and only for the Department of the Environment (DfE) in accordance with the terms of our proposal dated December 2008 and for no other party and/or purpose. We do not accept or assume any liability or duty of care for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

Proposals, letters, reports together with working papers and similar documents, whether drafted or final and other documents submitted by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP contain methodologies, models, graphs, illustrations and other material and work product which are proprietary and confidential to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or which have been provided to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP by third parties who may have made such information available on the basis of confidentiality agreements, other written, implied, or other non-binding conditions.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP claims copyright in all such proposals, letters, reports and other deliverables as protected under the copyright laws of the United Kingdom and other countries. Such documents, presentations and materials are submitted on the condition that they shall not be disclosed outside the recipient's organisation, or duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part by the recipient for any purpose other than that for which they were specifically prepared for our engagement under.

In the event that, pursuant to a request which the Department of the Environment has received under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, it is required to disclose any information contained in the Project Relation document or any information prepared by us, that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, we shall notify PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP immediately and discuss with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP prior to disclosing such information. The Department of the Environment agrees to pay due regard to any representations which PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP may make in connection with such disclosures and the Department of the Environment shall apply any relevant exemptions which may exist under the Act to such information.

© 2009 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. "PricewaterhouseCoopers" refers to the PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (a limited liability partnership in the United Kingdom) or, as the context requires, other member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity.

**BLANK PAGE**